

Family Name	Leach
Given Name	Yvonne
Person ID	1287523
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Leach
Given Name	Yvonne
Person ID	1287523
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The vision has been imposed from international structures downwards and not organic to the people most affected. Democracy is from grassroots upwards and not bestowed from above.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Local grassroots bodies should have been consulted and advertisements placed in local press and forums before the grand plan and strategic objectives formulated.</p> <p>In my local area the need for additional local housing has not been sufficiently justified. Roads, schools, and other essential services already cannot cope with demand. Indeed there is no accident and emergency hospital within the borough, even hospital outpatient appointments entails several transport changes and typical journey times of in excess of 1 1/2 hrs each way. Hundreds of houses are proposed relatively close public transport links but these are infrequent and do not link to journeys most travelled.</p> <p>No additional grand plans should commence until grassroot democracy takes precedence. Local residents are best placed to report on flood risks and wildlife. Housing is proposed on land regularly flooded. Mitigation is likely to endanger other areas to flooding.</p> <p>The Covid threats have changed industries and workplace demands beyond those foreseen. The strategic plans must consult with local residents first as they are best placed to highlight changes needed for organic change. There are many areas in Greater Manchester crying out for investment and improvement. The people living in those areas will wonder why relatively</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>well-to-do areas have money 'invested' before their current needs are prioritised.</p> <p>Locally areas that have been farmland and lands reclaimed by nature, both fauna and fauna, particularly used as wildlife corridors, and wildflower meadows have been earmarked for housing: this cannot be considered a good fit regarding sustainability.</p> <p>Victorian planners ensured that transport, recreation and city green lungs were catered for, few areas in my local area have recreational parks within walking distance, something we can learn from Victorian planners. We have a childhood obesity problem exacerbated by the recent lockdown, schools and parks within walking distance must be a priority before any additional housing is contemplated.</p> <p>Many older areas have industrial units that aren't being utilised. Investigation and investments must be prioritised before more grandiose schemes.</p> <p>The rate of non-organic change might in all probability lead to less social cohesion, poorer health and thus possibility of additional crime and future slums.</p>
Family Name	Leach
Given Name	Yvonne
Person ID	1287523
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	<p>1. Meet our housing need</p> <p>2. Create neighbourhoods of choice</p> <p>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</p>
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Leach
Given Name	Yvonne
Person ID	1287523
Title	JPA 22: Land North of Smithy Bridge
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>Land north of Smithybridge is a water sink protecting other areas from flooding. Moreover it covers land that is a vital corridor for both fauna and flora.</p> <p>Housing has left little space for local recreation, schools, medical facilities.</p> <p>Arterial roads cannot cope with most day to day traffic let alone the vital through route over the Pennines when there are incidents and catastrophes on the M62.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Sadly, there is no way that the proposals can be modified to be sound.